1 THOMAS J. PEARSON, 2 called as a witness by the State, being 3 first duly sworn by the Court, was examined and testified as follows: 5 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. TIMMINS: 6 7 Q. Would you please state and spell 8 your name for the court reporter? 9 A. It's Thomas J. Pearson, P-e-a-r-s-10 o-n. 11 Where do you work at? 12 A. I work for the FBI office in Cedar 13 Rapids, Iowa. 14 Q. And FBI is Federal Bureau of Investigation? 15 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. How long have you worked there? 18 A. For about fifteen years. 19 What is your educational Q. 20 background? 21 A. I got a chemical engineering degree 22 from Iowa State, a bachelor's of science, 23 and worked in that field for ten years, 24 and then went to the FBI. 25 Q. Tell us how that happens?

Well, agents come from a lot of different backgrounds. It's not just 3 lawyers or former cops or attorneys, which historically there was a lot of accountants and attorneys in the FBI. it's a various diverse background now, so 7 really if you meet the minimum requirements, you can fill out an 9 application and join the FBI.

- Q. And actually now they do look for people with science and technical-type backgrounds?
  - A. Oh, absolutely.
- Where did you work at when you Q. worked in the engineering field?
- I worked for a manufacturing company called US Gypsum. They're based in Chicago.
- 19 Q. So at some point you decided to 20 apply to the FBI?
  - Α. Yes.

1

2

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

- Q. What type of training did you have to become an FBI agent?
- 24 A. Just like everybody else that goes 25 through -- that becomes a special agent with

the FBI, we go through the FBI Academy in 1 2 Quantico, Virginia. When I was there, it 3 was a 17-week program. And since they are bringing in people from all different backgrounds, like myself--I had no prior law enforcement -- they teach you everything 7 you need to know about the law, about arrest procedures, search procedures, 9 interview techniques, everything that -- all 10 the core information you need to start the 11 job.

When you were done at Quantico, where did you go?

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- I was initially assigned to a small Α. office in Ohio, in Lima, Ohio.
  - And how long were you there?
- A. I was there for about two and a half years. Then I transferred to Toledo, Ohio, and I was there for another ten years or so, and then I was transferred to Cedar Rapids about three years ago.
- Q. What are you duties as an FBI agent?
- Α. Well, we investigate violations of 25 federal law, to put it simply. We collect

information. Really that's the job. We collect information and we give it to the prosecutor's office. They determine if a crime has occurred or not, and we just assist their office if there's a prosecution.

- Q. And when you say the prosecutor's office, you don't mean a prosecutor like me that's with the State of Iowa, you're talking about federal prosecutors?
- A. Normally. We work with the US
  Attorney's Office, which is a group of
  federal prosecutors. But it's not
  uncommon to conduct an investigation and
  determine that there are—discover some
  state crimes as opposed to federal crimes,
  and then it does get turned over to a
  state prosecutor from time to time.
- Q. You work jointly with other agencies?
  - A. Yes.

- Q. That's actually fairly normal; is that right?
  - A. It's very common.
  - Q. What's a normal day or week look

like for you at your office?

A. Well, every day is kind of the same in that for everybody that investigates cases, like myself, we have a list of open investigations. We look at each one, determine what needs to be addressed today. Is there something urgent? What interviews need to be done? What records need to be collected? What coordination or meetings, perhaps, need to take place with other agencies? And we just do whatever needs to be addressed that day.

- Q. Obviously, there are a lot of TV shows about FBI agents and things like that, are you just out running around every day with your gun out and doing things like that?
- A. No. If they made a show that was based on reality, nobody would watch it.
  - Q. Too boring?
- A. Absolutely. There's a lot of administrative work that goes into the job.
- Q. All right. I'd like to talk to you about Midwest Academy. Did you become

involved in an investigation of Midwest Academy?

A. Yes.

- Q. How did you become involved?
- A. Well, our office had received some information in the spring of 2015 which resulted in us opening an investigation at Midwest Academy. I was assigned as the case agent for that investigation in the fall of 2015.
- Q. All right. So another agent initially opened the investigation in the spring of 2015?
  - A. That's right.
- Q. And at some point you were called in to take over that; is that right?
  - A. That's right.
- Q. Now, prior to you taking over the investigation, just general broad sweep, had your office, people in your office been to the academy? Had some things been done?
  - A. Yes. Some investigation had been done. Some students, former students, had been interviewed by the prior case agent.

There was some coordination with the Department of Human Services. They shared some information and did conduct some investigation.

- Q. All right. Was there a point in time that you received a phone call from a different agency who was contacting you about Midwest Academy?
- 9 A. Yes. I received a call from Joe 10 Lestina. He's with the Division of 11 Criminal Investigation for the State of 12 Iowa. He's a special agent for them. And 13 he said that he had received an allegation 14 regarding sexual abuse from a former 15 student, and this was probably near the 16 end of 2015, and so he informed me of 17 that.
  - Q. Agent Lestina was aware that you had an investigation, so did the two of you start coordinating things?
    - A. We did.

1

2

3

5

6

7

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And he contacted you sometime in December of 2015?
  - A. I think it was December.
  - Q. All right. From that point on,

from that phone call, did this then become basically a joint investigation between your agency and the DCI?

A. Yes. We coordinated pretty much everything we did from that point on.

- Q. What types of things did you do during this investigation?
- A. We conducted quite a few interviews of parents of former students, and of former students, collected records, coordinated with the DCI in anticipation of executing a search warrant at the school, we assumed—or anticipated having to do that, and we knew it would take substantial resources.
- Q. And why would the search of the school take substantial resources?
- A. It's a very large--physically a very large structure.
- Q. All right. Well, let's talk about the search warrant, then, on January 28, 2016. You said that you and Agent Lestina had worked together to coordinate putting this together; is that right?
  - A. That's right.

Q. You went on the search warrant that day?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- Q. What other agencies were there?
- A. The Lee County Sheriff's Office was there; FBI had resources there; DCI had resources there; the Department of Human Resources (sic) came in--
  - Q. Later on that day?
- A. A little bit later on, right. They were aware that we were taking that action.
- Q. Set the scene a little bit for us. When you arrived what were the things that you did?
  - A. When we executed the warrant?
- Q. Yes.
- 18 A. We all pulled up in the parking lot 19 and parked. Joe Lestina and I got a hold 20 of the Defendant. We told him we were 21 there with a search warrant. We asked him 22 if he would sit down with us and submit to 23 an interview, which he did. And so we spent about six hours talking to the 24 25 Defendant that day.

1 So while other people were 2 conducting the search, you were 3 interviewing the Defendant? Α. Right. 5 And when you use the term 6 Defendant, are you speaking of Ben Trane? 7 Α. Yes. 8 Q. Is he present in the courtroom 9 today? 10 Α. Yes. 11 Q. Could you point him out please and 12 identify the color of his shirt? 13 He's sitting right there with a 14 gray suit and white shirt (indicating). 15 MS. TIMMINS: Let the record 16 reflect that the witness has identified 17 the Defendant. 18 THE COURT: It may. 19 (By Ms. Timmins) And that is the Q.. 20 person that you interviewed that day? 21 Α. Yes. 22 Q. About what time did your interview 23 start? 24 A. It started about 12:30 in the

25

afternoon.

Q. And where did you conduct it at?

- A. We were I believe in a break room at the school on the main floor.
  - Q. Who was with you?
  - A. It was myself and Joe Lestina.
- Q. Was anyone else in the room besides the Defendant?
  - A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- Q. And you said that he agreed to talk to you?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. I just want to go over some of the points through that interview. It was a very long interview?
  - A. It was.
- Q. Did the Defendant tell you what type of education he had?
- A. Yes. He said he had gone to

  19 college. He didn't graduate. He was a

  20 couple of credits short from graduating,

  21 but he attended a college in Utah for--I

  22 believe he said he was studying computer

  23 science.
- Q. Throughout this interview, how did
  the Defendant describe the school to you?

What type of school was it?

A. Well, he said it was privately owned, basically a boarding school for teenagers under 18. They had an academic program there so students could pursue getting a high school diploma from them. They had a behavioral program that was therapeutic in its structure so that they could address behavioral issues with the students.

- Q. Did he tell you who owned the academy?
  - A. Yes. He said he was the owner.
- Q. Did he talk about how many students were there?
- A. He said at the time we were there, there were 77 students there, and that in the past they had as many as 300. But when we were there, he said 77.
- Q. Did you talk at all about how the students, the kids would arrive at the school?
- A. They arrived by one of two methods.

  Either the parents would bring the

  children there, the students there and

drop them off; or, if for some reason, for whatever reason that wasn't an option for the parents, they could hire a transport company, third party company, and it would consist of two or three people to go the student's home, take the student out of the home, and drive them to the school, or fly, depending on where they were from.

- Q. And who arranged the transport company?
  - A. That was up to the parents.
- Q. Did the Defendant have services for that?
- A. Not at that time. He said in the past that staff members used to do that on their day off. They would go do these transports, but at the time of the search that was all third-party work.
- Q. Did the Defendant talk about how parents paid him for their children to attend the school?
- A. He did. He said that there's a monthly tuition. I think he said it was \$5,000.00 a month, which was kind of their list price, although they had reduced

rates for a lot of the parents.

Q. Did he say anything about it was like a car payment?

- A. Oh, yeah. He said that a lot of middle class families can afford it because they can just get a loan if they need to, and paying off the loan for the school tuition was equivalent, basically, to a car payment.
- Q. Did you talk to the Defendant about the structure of the school, what some of the rules and regulations were?
- A. A little bit. He described what he called systems therapy, or the structure of their behavioral program. They have different levels. There are six different levels that students ascend through as part of the behavioral program, and they all start initially at Level 1, which is the most restrictive level. And then they earn freedoms as they work up through the program.
- Q. Did he say anything about why kids would get stuck on Level 1?
  - A. He said--well, we asked him, what

do you do with students if they're not progressing, and they're just stuck at Level 1 and they're not succeeding? And he said, the only reason that kids stay on Level 1 is if they have some sort of a conflict with their parents and they're just doing it to spite their parents, then they just stay at the bottom level.

- Q. He said they were doing it to spite their parents?
  - A. Basically, yes.

- Q. Overall, did he paint a good picture of the students who attended the academy?
- A. He did. He said that in comparing them to like a normal high school student, he said that other high school students don't speak the way our students speak. They come there; they learn a lot of skills, like skills and communication skills. He said that when his kids get to be 15 years old, he's sending them all through the behavioral program. And I think he even said that the nation should follow his school system because of the

successes that it had.

Q. Did you ask the Defendant or have a discussion about how reports to DHS are made within the school?

- A. We were talking about a specific allegation that came up, and he did say that, well, anybody can report abuse allegations to DHS. Their policy was that it goes through Mike Davis, and Mike Davis does the reporting.
- Q. So he said anybody can do it; however, the school policy is that it goes through Mike Davis?
  - A. Right.
- Q. Did he say anything about who made the report regarding Kxxxxxx
- A. Well, we talked about that specifically. And he told us that Mike Davis called DHS and made that report.

  But he also said that Jane Riter had also called and made that report, and Cheyenne Jerred had called DHS as well. He said all three of them did.
- Q. Did he say anything about the order of who called when?

A. Initially, he told us that Mike

Davis called first. But then a little bit

later on he said he wasn't quite sure of

the timing of the different calls.

- Q. Did you talk to him about what a family representative was?
- A. Yes. He described family representatives as one of the functions of the staff members there to interact between the students and the student's family at home.
  - Q. Did he admit that he was a family representative for Kxxxxxx
    - A. Yes.

- Q. And he told you that he had her on his caseload plus three other students; is that right?
- A. That's right. He was a family rep for two girl students--female students and two of the boy students.
  - Q. The other female being Mxxxx

- A. That's right.
- Q. With being her family representative, was it discussed that he

could control phone calls home or to Kxxxxxx's sister?

- A. That's one of the functions of the family rep, is to interact with the phone calls. And he said that he would initiate a phone call for Kxxxxxx to talk to her sister and then hand her the phone.
  - Q. Did you talk about shopping trips?
  - A. Yes.

- Q. Did the Defendant say that he did take kids off campus sometimes?
- A. He did. We asked him, where do you take students off campus, and initially he told us that we go to Walmart; we go to Aldi to buy various supplies or whatever is needed.
- Q. Now, I'm going to ask you, before you asked these questions, did you have some knowledge through your investigation that the Defendant had been taking girls to Victoria's Secret?
- A. Yes. We had that information

  before we executed the warrant, and that

  was the purpose of asking him those

  questions about where you take students.

Q. All right. So you asked him, where do you take the kids, and he told you Walmart, Aldi's?

A. He gave us those two stores. We asked again, where else do you go off campus? And he said, well, they do a lot of service projects. They take the students into the community to do various things. They go to the library or the hospital or the National Memorial.

And then we asked him again, well, you know, what kind of stores do you take the kids to? And he told us Walmart, Kohl's, Sam's Club. He told us he took the kids out for sushi; he took kids out for ice cream; he took them to the malls in Burlington and Quincy.

- Q. Did he ever mention during the interview that he took girls to Victoria's Secret?
- A. No. And then after that we went
  back and said, well, if they need
  underwear where do you take them for
  underwear? And he told us either Walmart
  or Dollar Tree, were the answers he gave

us.

Q. Did he explain why he took the kids shopping?

- A. He would take them shopping either if they just had a need for some item. It was out of necessity for some. For some it was a reward to get out of the school. For some he would take kids out for their birthday. For some kids that didn't have parents either in the area--I think he gave an example that some parents are overseas and couldn't come visit, that he would just take those kids out just so they had, I guess, a little attention.
- Q. Did he say anything about buying things for the kids?
- A. He said that he has probably bought things for 50 percent of the kids there, various items. And if you include meals, he has bought items for all the kids.
- Q. All right. I assume you talked to the Defendant specifically about Kxxxxxx?
  - A. We did.
- Q. What did the Defendant say about how Kxxxxxx did at the school?

A. He said overall she loved it there. She didn't want to leave. She didn't want to go back home to live with her aunt and uncle, and that she was doing well there.

- Q. Did he admit to any sexual contact with Kxxxxxx?
  - A. No.

- Q. You confronted him on that?
- A. We asked him about that, and he said no.
- Q. Did he tell you that it was Kxxxxxx who was the one who tried to get him alone?
- A. He did. He said Kxxxxxx was always trying to get him alone. She said that she wasn't comfortable talking with other kids around; that she needed it to be just the two of them. And he said he would make that available for her, but even when it was just the two of them she wouldn't open up and say anything.
- Q. So he did tell you that he accommodated what he says was her request to talk to him alone?
  - A. That's right.

Q. But when he was alone with her, she wouldn't talk?

A. That's what he said, right.

- Q. Did you ask him, you know: Was it Kxxxxxx that was trying to form a relationship with you?
- A. We did discuss that and he said that Kxxxxxx didn't pursue any sort of a relationship and that it was somewhat unique for her, because most of the girls there, they liked some interaction, they liked hugs, they liked to be around the Defendant, but she just didn't try to pursue any sort of closeness or relationship like that.
- Q. The impression you got from the Defendant is that Kxxxxxx was very standoffish compared to the other girls?
  - A. I think that's fair, right.
- Q. Did you ask the Defendant if Kxxxxxx ever been in his home?
- A. We did ask that question. He said he didn't recall her ever being there. He didn't think she was over there.
  - Q. It wasn't no. It was just that he

didn't recall?

- A. That's right.
- Q. Did you ask about if Mxxxx



had been in his home?

- A. Yes, we asked that question. He said he thought she maybe had been there one time.
- Q. Did he admit to ever being alone with students?
- A. He said he was never alone with students.
- Q. Did you ask him about why Cheyenne Jerred was fired?
- A. We discussed Cheyenne Jerred. She was fired because she had communicated with Kxxxxxx and and that that was--since she was not a licensed therapist, and that was considered too close to, like, therapy, having those discussions with her, and she was prohibited from that, so she was fired because of it.
- Q. What about body image therapy or the body image classes? Was that topic brought up to the Defendant?
  - A. We did ask about that.

Q. And again, prior to going into this interview, had you received information that something like this was going on at the school that was put on by the Defendant?

- A. Yes. Prior to the search, we had this information that—the allegation anyway, that the Defendant took girls into a—had them go into a room and look in a mirror and describe their body shapes and body types to him. And so we asked about body image therapy and body image classes.
  - Q. And what did he say?

A. The first time we asked him about body image therapy and if that is addressed at the school, he said yes; that therapists do most of that. In the seminars they take care of a lot of the body image issues, and that there was a time when he had printed out some literature for some of the girls to look at regarding different body types because there had been a female student who was mean to some of the other female students, the heavier students, and calling them fat

and calling them names, and so he printed out some information to help focus themselves on body types.

- Q. When he told you that he printed out this information, did he make it seem to you like it was just this one-time deal for this one particular situation?
- A. Well, he said it was a result of one girl who was mean to some of the other girls, and it was in response to that.
- Q. All right. Did he ever admit to you at any time that he conducted these types of classes and had these types of discussions with the girls?
- A. Well, eventually he did say that he was--did take part in some of the mirror classes, or mirror image. When we asked him about body image therapy, he said, no, the therapists do that and I don't get involved in therapy. And then we asked: Well, we have information that these girls were sitting in front of a mirror with regard to their body type. And again, he said, that he thought the therapists might have done that. And we asked him

specifically, did you do this or was it the therapists? And he said, I think the therapists might have done it. And then he said, well, the girls that were involved with it when I did it aren't even here anymore.

So he never said yes, but he did acknowledge that he had some involvement with it.

- Q. Did you ask him about the OSS rooms?
  - A. We talked about OSS, yes.
  - Q. And actually going back to March of 2015, the spring of 2015, the FBI started looking into some of those situations with the OSS rooms; correct?
    - A. That's right.

- Q. So you had prior knowledge going in to the interview about them?
- A. I knew that they were there and that they were used, right.
- Q. What did he have to say about the OSS rooms?
- A. Well, he told us the OSS rooms are basically a timeout room. Students are

put in there when necessary. The door is closed but only until the student calms down, and once the student is calm the door is opened.

in there. He said that DHS had been in and questioned him about OSS in the past multiple times and, you know, insinuated that they had been characterized as solitary confinement or isolation rooms or control rooms, all of which he said that's not what they are; they're just timeout rooms.

- Q. Did he say anything about whether, you know, in response to some of those questions, did he make a comment about whether or not isolation was healthy?
- A. Right. He said they're not isolation rooms because isolation isn't healthy. And it's not an isolation room, basically because there are other students—there are two other students there with the student that's in the room. So there is somebody to communicate with. There's a lot of interaction for the

student in the OSS room. There's a staff member present. Therapists come and check on the students each day. So it's not isolation in the way you might think that the person is just walled off from the rest of the world.

- Q. And that was his explanation to you?
  - A. Right.

- Q. Did you press him at all about structure and sitting twenty-four hours, or did you not go into that area?
- A. We didn't talk about sitting in certain structure. But we did talk about the twenty-four hour rule, because he said when they first opened up they had no real limits on OSS. So if a student got restrained a whole bunch, that's just how it was. And at some point it changed to a twenty-four hour limit to be in OSS, but he acknowledged if a student goes in there for twenty-four hours and then has another violation of some other rule, the twenty-four hours is going to reset again, so that it's really--there isn't a twenty-

four hour limit if a student keeps violating the rules.

Q. There's no limit?

- A. He said that——it basically got to the point where if a student was in the OSS room for more than seven days, they would look to start expelling the student out of the school
- Q. Did he also say something that there was—that after May of 2015 that there was only a one—hour limit to be in OSS?
- A. That's right. He said DHS had come in more than once. So the first time they came up with the twenty-four hour rule, and then DHS came in in the spring of 2015 with some Iowa Code that limited it to a one-hour limit. And so they changed their policy to meet that guidance from DHS to limit it to an hour.
  - Q. That's what he told you?
  - A. Right.
- Q. Through your investigation of this case, did you find that not to be the case?

A. Well, we certainly learned that a lot of kids were in OSS reportedly for lengthy periods, weeks at a time.

- Q. Even after May of 2015?
- A. I think that's right, yes.
- Q. All right. And did he say anything about--you had brought up that he said something about if they're in there for seven days, we look to expel them?
  - A. Right.

- Q. Did you talk to him at all about how many times that happened? Or was that just a blanket statement that he made?
- A. I don't think we followed up on that. We didn't ask for a specific number of kids that have been expelled.
- Q. All right. Was the Defendant also talking to you about opening up another facility?
- A. He said that they were in the process of opening another facility. It's out on Highway 218, closer to the high school. They were working on getting licensed through the State because as it stood, they had no licensing from the

State when we were there. But that once they got licensed that they would be able to bill insurance for services rendered to the students and that that would basically double their income because they would get insurance payouts for their services.

- Q. Was it your understanding--when investigating Midwest Academy and gathering information, was it your understanding that at the time that Midwest Academy was open there were no State regulations on private boarding schools; is that right?
- A. That's right. Yeah, there was no licensing requirement to my knowledge because there were no guidelines for that specific setup.
- Q. All right. So the Defendant was talking about he wanted to open a different type of facility that needed a license; is that right?
- A. Well, he talked about getting
  licensing as a comprehensive residential
  treatment facility for this other
  location, and it's my understanding he was

trying to get that same designation for the Midwest Academy site too, but there were some physical issues with putting sprinkler systems in, that was very expensive, in Midwest Academy, and it was cheaper because the other location was smaller.

- Q. But he mentioned that they could double their income if they could open this new facility?
  - A. Right.

- Q. Did he say how much money he was paid for his work?
- A. He said that there was a seven-year period where he didn't take a salary at all. But then he started to take some salary. He was taking about, I think, \$1,000.00 twice a month, bi-weekly.
- Q. Through your investigation did you determine that the Defendant owned other properties in town?
- A. He did. He owned--there was a gas station owned by them--by him, a condominium in the area, and some other land.

Q. And that's all here in Keokuk?

- A. In Lee County.
- Q. Close to Keokuk?
- A. Right.

- Q. Did you bring up the sexual assaults that occurred on the boys' dorm floor to the Defendant?
  - A. We discussed that, yes.
- Q. And that was the Pride group; is that right?
  - A. Right.
  - Q. What did he say about that?
- A. He said that an allegation had been made that some of the boy students had engaged in some sort of sexual activity in the bathroom during the evenings. He didn't really know to what extent it really occurred or in what magnitude or what extent. He didn't think that whatever did happen was because of any shortcoming of the school; that the school had sufficient supervision, and that basically he was unfairly being accused of not having either cameras or staff in the bathrooms to oversee the kids because he

didn't think either of those options were available to him.

- Q. In fact, he told you that supervision isn't our problem?
- A. Right. He said, yeah, supervision wasn't a problem. And in fact, they did not make any changes to their policies or procedures after that incident.
- Q. Did you ask the Defendant for the passcode to his cellphone?
- A. Yes, we asked him for that and he gave it to us.
  - Q. And why do you do that?
  - A. Why do we do that?
- Q. Yes.

- A. Well, because it's very difficult to get into these smart phones without the passcode. So in order to look at the contents of a phone, it's much easier to do it with the passcode.
  - Q. Did he provide it to you?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And actually at some point the
  Defendant's phone was seized and a
  Cellebrite report was done on it; is that

right?

A. That's right.

Q. Did the Defendant describe to you the camera system that was in the school?

A. He told us that there were cameras in the school that were recording. He said they used to record a lot of the cameras, and that he reduced it to just the camera in--there's a main hallway camera; there's a camera that is out in the parking lot; and, there are cameras in the OSS rooms.

And he said that they used to record all the feeds, but then he kept watching them and seeing—he would catch his employees stealing and got tired of having to deal with all of his employees—like, knowing his employees are stealing, and so he stopped recording them because he was tired of having to deal with that.

- Q. So the only cameras that were recording at the school then were the front hallway?
- A. He said there was a front hallway camera, one that points out in the parking

lot, then cameras for all the OSS rooms.

- Q. So front hallway, parking lot, OSS?
- A. Right.

- Q. Did he let people know that he stopped recording in other areas? Do you know?
- A. I don't think so, because he made the comment that some of the people think these cameras are still recording, so apparently not.
- Q. Did the Defendant seem surprised that you were there talking to him?
- A. No. He didn't seem surprised. He said that he kind of thought this was going to happen sooner or later.
- Q. And throughout the interview, did the Defendant attempt to convince you what a good school this is and the good things that he was doing?
- A. Well, he did. Again, he touted the communication skills that the students were learning. They compared favorably to high school students in general; that the nation should follow his school structure because of the success that they had.

Q. What was his demeanor like through your interview?

- A. He was very calm, cooperative.
- Q. The Defendant speaks well?
- A. He's well-spoken? I would say so.
- Q. All right. Following your interview of the Defendant, what did you do?
- A. We regrouped with our supervisors, and at that point I was informed that the decision had been made to interview all the students that were there at the school.
- Q. When you initially went, was that the plan, to interview all of the students?
  - A. No.

- Q. Something changed?
- A. Something changed, right.
- Q. You weren't privy to that?
  - A. No. I didn't make that decision.
  - Q. All right. But the decision is made and now you're going to interview everybody that's there?
  - A. Correct.

Q. Describe to us how that happened?

A. Well, I went back to my supervisor and told him we were done with the interview. He said, okay, we are interviewing every student here.

They had obtained a roster of all the students at the school, and so we just started checking them off one at a time. I interviewed boys. There was a group of people interviewing on the girls' side, but my interaction was— They had all the boys in one area, had them in the boys' dorm, and I just went up there and said, okay, who hasn't been interviewed yet? Come with me. And a boy would come out, we'd go find kind of a quiet place to sit down and talk to him for a few minutes.

- Q. About how many kids did you interview that day?
  - A. Oh, maybe 6 to 8.
  - Q. Who else was with you interviewing?
  - A. We were doing those one on one.
- Q. Were there several other agents
  throughout the day that were also
  assisting in getting all the interviews

done?

A. Oh, yeah, absolutely. We had dozens of people there total. And there were 77 students, like I said, and we interviewed them all. You know, we were passing each other in the hallway and, you know— It took hours to do it, on top of the six-hour interview that we had.

- Q. How long were you there that day?
- A. Oh, it was late by the time we left, maybe 11 o'clock at night.
- Q. And you're aware some people stayed through the night?
  - A. Right. I didn't stay to the end.
- Q. All right. After the first search was done, was the decision made to obtain a second search warrant?
  - A. Yes.
    - Q. And why is that?
- A. Well, there was camera footage that needed to be collected, OSS cameras, and the other recorded camera footage, and records from the school.
- Q. Did you participate in this second search that was going to be conducted?

A. No, I didn't.

- Q. In fact, that was when Ryan Herman was sent to the school; is that right?
- A. Right. Ryan Herman and Stacy Weber from the Sheriff's office, the two of them went out there to execute the second warrant.
- Q. After the day that Ryan Herman went out, were you made aware that you needed a big truck?
- A. Yes. They went out to get the recorded, electronic footage and then the other records. We learned that it's very voluminous, that there were many, many boxes of records there, so they weren't prepared to take all that.
- Q. So did you go out--well, you yourself go out a second time, but as law enforcement all together went out a third time to Midwest Academy?
- A. Right. We knew that we needed to haul away a lot of paper records, and we needed a lot of people to do it. So we did a third search in February, I think it was February 11th, where we had a few

dozen people with us and a big U-Haul truck to put all the records in.

- Q. And that was February 11, 2016?
- A. Right.
- Q. All right. You went out that day?
- A. Yes.

- Q. Were you informed at some point that there was a room that had missing boxes?
- A. Yes. I was told to expect a room, a storage room basically that had many, many boxes of records. We got there and the room was empty. The records had been moved from there.
  - Q. What did you do?
- A. We asked the Defendant about it.

  We said, there used to be some records in here two weeks ago and now they're gone.

  Where are they, and can we have them?
- Q. Did he say that he moved the records off site?
- A. Yes. He said he moved the records off site to keep them secure.
- Q. But he only moved some records; is that right?

A. Right. The room was empty, but there were records throughout the school and in his residence that had not been moved.

- Q. And was it, in fact, the student records that had been moved off campus?
- A. Well, a lot of those records were student records. We did find student records in other locations too.
- Q. Did you find out--at some point that day, did you find out where the records were?
- A. Yes.

- Q. Where did you track them down to?
- A. Well, the Defendant initially said, you know, I moved them off site, they're secure. He didn't share the location of them initially. But as the day wore on, he said, you know what, I'll take you over to them. And so he got in a vehicle, and we followed him over to a house—a residential house in town where they had been loaded up in a van and a closed trailer, and they were parked in the garage.

Q. So the documents were in a van and in a trailer, and all of that was inside a garage at a residence here in Keokuk?

A. Right.

- Q. So you followed the Defendant to this residence?
  - A. Right.
  - Q. Whose home is that?
- A. It's Scott and Kathryn Piper's house.
- Q. What happened when you arrived at that house then?
- A. We pulled up in the driveway. He said everything is in the garage. He had to get on the phone. No one came to the door. We didn't meet anybody there. But eventually one of the garage doors opened up so we could access the garage.

And he pulled the van out and connected the trailer up to it and drove it back to Midwest Academy, and we followed him back there.

- Q. The Defendant did?
- A. The Defendant did that, right.
- Q. Did you then unload the van or

trailer and put that in your trailer?

A. Well, we processed that just like we were doing the rest of the school. If it was something we could seize pursuant to the search warrant, we collected it and cataloged it and put it on the truck.

- Q. By the time you were done and you seized the documents that you had authority to, you said they were all put in a U-Haul truck; is that right?
  - A. Right.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

- Q. How big was that?
- A. It was a 28-foot U-Haul truck.
- $14 \parallel Q$ . Was it full?
  - A. It was pretty full, yep.
  - Q. What happened to all of those documents?
- 18 I drove the U-Haul back to our FBI 19 office in Omaha because we had the 20 physical room to unload it and organize it 21 a little better. By the time I drove 22 across the state, everything had kind of 23 shifted around, boxes broke and whatnot, 24 so we had to re-palletize it, re-box a lot 25 of it. Anyway, we organized it so that we

could send it off to what we call our doc lab. Our doc lab is an FBI facility where they will take paper records and scan it all in and digitize it so it's electronic.

- Q. And that's what happened with the documents that you seized at the academy?
  - A. Right.

- Q. When did you send them off-- Well, you took them to Omaha that day; is that right?
- A. It wasn't the same day. I mean, we got out of there pretty late. So the truck was stored in a locked facility at the Cedar Rapids Police Department that night. And then I drove it over to Omaha after that.
- Q. When were the documents done? When was everything scanned and digitized and ready to go?
- A. It took about a year by the time we had made the request and put that in place. We had to ship the pallets off.

  There was 22 pallets of records, and the doc lab can only store a couple at a time, so we had to send a couple pallets; they'd

scan them and send them back, and then we'd send another shipment, back and forth. And it was, I think, April of this year, 2017, by the time they had everything done.

- Q. During the time that you were waiting on the documents to get scanned and digitized, what were you doing in your investigation?
- A. Well, you're probably aware there was quite a bit of media of the searches of the school and that got out, you know, in the media all over the place. And former students saw that, and they started calling. They called our public access line. Lots of former students, some parents of former students called with different pieces of information that they wanted to share.

So we got a long list of people to interview, and so we conducted those interviews. Through those interviews, they identified other students, or former students I should say, and we tried to track anybody down that we thought might

have some relevant information.

Q. Basically following up on the leads?

A. Right.

- Q. What was it like trying to track down some of these people that had left the academy?
- A. Well, some were easy to find; some were very cooperative; some kids didn't want anything to do with it, and they were not cooperative whatsoever; some just were somewhat transient and had moved around, and so the phone numbers we had initially weren't good anymore, and we had to track their parents down and just try to find people. So it was across the whole spectrum.
  - Q. Some kids were in other facilities?
- A. Yeah, right. Some were locked up in mental facilities, yes.
- Q. With all of that and all of the work that you had done, at some point was the decision made to arrest the Defendant?
  - A. Yes.
    - Q. Do you know approximately when that

was?

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

23

24

25

A. I believe the complaint was filed right at the end of August of this year, and he had turned himself in I think the first week of September. It was right around Labor Day.

MS. TIMMINS: I don't have any further questions. Thank you.

THE COURT: Ms. Schaefer.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

## BY MS. SCHAEFER:

- Q. So other than your interview with the Defendant, much of what you were doing was either interviewing students or staff and purely coordination?
- A. Are you talking about the day of the search?
  - Q. Coordination of resources?
  - A. I think that's fair, yeah.
- Q. Where did you interview the Defendant?
  - A. We were at the school in--I think he referred to it as the break room.
    - Q. And you said it was six hours long?
    - A. It was.

Q. And you indicated that the Defendant was calm and cooperative throughout the whole thing?

A. He was.

- Q. Was he answering your questions appropriately, as in on topic?
  - A. For the most part, yes.
- Q. Did he indicate to you when you were discussing shopping trips whether the kids themselves generally had their own financial resources?
- A. We did discuss that somewhat. He said that some of the parents would send money, but a lot of the expenses—he considered the tuition to be all—inclusive, so for little bits and pieces he didn't want to nickel and dime parents, and so he absorbed the cost of a lot of the things he bought for the kids.
- Q. So he indicated to you that it was fairly common that he would either purchase things for the kids or take them shopping to get those items?
  - A. Right.
  - Q. And he generally was the one who

paid for those items?

A. Right.

- Q. Because most of the kids did not have their own money?
  - A. Right.
- Q. And that would include clothing, shoes, toiletries, what they needed?
- A. Right, whatever they needed, yep, jewelry, watches--he mentioned watches one time.
  - Q. Because isn't that something that as you--he told you that as you rise up through the system, you can get to wear a watch?
  - A. Right, yes. As you succeed through the levels, you get more freedoms, and one of the things you get would be a watch.
- Q. And he indicated to you that he took those kids shopping at a lot of different places, didn't he?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Specifically, Walmart seemed to be a popular destination?
  - A. He mentioned it a few times.
  - Q. As well as Kohl's? Isn't that

where he indicated they often got their uniform pants?

- A. I think so, right.
- Q. And Sam's Club for supplies?
- A. Correct.

- Q. And he also indicated the malls?
- A. He mentioned the mall in Burlington and the mall in Quincy.
- Q. And he didn't get specific about those stores, did he?
  - A. Well, he just said the mall so--
- Q. But he didn't necessarily say the kids went to The Buckle, or the kids went to Claire's or Victoria's Secret? He didn't specify which stores, did he?
- A. Well, you know, we asked him that a few times, and the list of stores I mentioned before is all the more he listed.
- Q. But didn't he indicate that when he did take them to the mall they just went to a bunch of different stores?
- A. He didn't say that specifically,

  but that's the implication when you say

  you go to a mall, I suppose.

And Victoria's Secret is generally 1 2 in a mall, isn't it? 3 A. I don't think it's in the Burlington mall, but I believe there's one 5 in Quincy. Q. And with regard to students 7 visiting his residence, didn't he indicate 8 that that was not an uncommon practice? 9 A. That's the impression I got, that 10 it did happen often. 11 He just did not specifically recall 12 Kxxxxxx being there; correct? 13 Α. Right. Q. But he did indicate that he 14 believed Mxxxx had been--Mxxxx 15 16 had been once? 17 Α. Right. 18 And didn't he indicate that he also 19 had two other male students on his 20 caseload? 21 Α. Yes.

Q. A young man named and another named?

A. Right.

22

23

24

25

Q. And didn't he indicate to you that

the boys were there frequently?

A. I don't remember him saying that.

- Q. Did he indicate having any involvement in the termination of Cheyenne Jerred?
- A. Well, he said that she was terminated because she wasn't licensed to do therapy. He didn't-- You're asking me if he said he fired her or not?
  - Q. Or was he involved in the decision?
- A. Well, he didn't say one way or the other to my recollection. He just said it happened.
- Q. And when you and Agent Lestina were having your discussion with him about the OSS rooms--and I don't remember if it was you or Agent Lestina--but didn't you sort of define what an isolation room was?
- A. I didn't provide a definition for it. I think--
  - Q. Well-- Go ahead.
- A Well, what was said regarding isolation is I believe the Defendant had said DHS had been in prior. He talked about OSS rooms, and that DHS was

characterizing them as isolation rooms or solitary confinement, which he didn't agree with.

- Q. But didn't Agent Lestina during his questioning compare them to a prison isolation cell?
- A. There was -- I'd have to read the transcript to be sure, but there was some discussion about a youth that was in Rikers Island, which is a prison in New York, that had been in solitary confinement and that the government had come out with some other regulations to reduce the amount of isolation for youth in prison, so there was some context as far as that part of the discussion.
  - Q. But you don't remember defining isolation as being isolated away from everybody?
  - A. I don't remember saying that, but I guess I'd have to look at the transcript to be sure.
- Q. And that's when Mr. Trane indicated that the kids who would be in OSS had contact with staff and upper level

students throughout the day or their time there; correct?

- A. Well, that was his point, that it's not isolation because they do have an ability to interact with others.
- Q. And again, I know you testified to the prior DHS interaction. At the time Midwest Academy was not subject to any State regulations, were they?
  - A. That's my understanding, right.
- Q. When did your interview with Mr. Trane begin?
  - A. It was 12:30 p.m.

- Q. So it would have ended around 6:30, give or take?
  - A. Sounds about right.
- Q. At any time did he indicate to you that he had had any sort of personal relationship with Ms. other than that as her family rep?
- A. What do you mean by personal relationship?
- Q. Any sort of personal friendship or
  any sexual relationship other than the
  relationship he had with her as, obviously

the owner of the school, and as her family representative?

A. No.

- Q. In fact, he continually denied that, didn't he?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And with regard to the body image exercise, did you address it with him as body image therapy?
- A. Initially we phrased it as body image therapy.
- Q. And that's when he indicated to you that the therapists addressed therapy issues; correct?
- A. Between the therapists and the seminars, they addressed body image issues. But when we did ask--the first time we asked, we phrased it as body image therapy, and he offered the information of printing out the body shape information and providing it to the girls.
- Q. But he didn't indicate to you at any time that he did anything other than provide them this information; correct?
  - A. Initially, that's all he told us he

||did.

Q. And that's not therapeutic, is it?

- A. I don't know.
- Q. And he admitted, at least at some point, participating in an exercise where he gave girls this information; correct?
- A. He did tell us that he was involved in girls being in front of a mirror, and it was all as a reaction to another girl kind of body shaming other girls, and so he was doing it in response to that.
- Q. You indicated that when your interview was concluded, decisions outside of you had already been made to then interview all of the students?
  - A. Right.
- Q. And that was going on once you left Mr. Trane?
- A. That had already been started, right, by the time we got out there.
  - Q. Do you know who made that decision?
- A. All I know is my supervisor told me
  the decision was made, so I imagine he was
  involved with it. But I don't know if it
  was one person or what.

Q. Above your pay grade?

A. I quess.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. And with regard to the records, you were able to obtain those records eventually, weren't you?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. And you indicated at the Piper's residence?
    - A. That's right.
  - Q. And did you also obtain all of the records that Agent Herman would have located throughout the school?
    - A. I believe they're the same records.
  - Q. And you indicated that the decision was made to arrest the Defendant at the end of August?
    - A. That's right.
- Q. And warrants would have been obtained?
  - A. They were.
- Q. And at this time did you know that he was already residing in Idaho?
- A. I didn't know for sure, but there
  were some indications that he was in
  Idaho, right.

Q. In fact, either you or someone from the federal government had attempted to locate him in Idaho; correct?

A. Yes. I sent a request to our office in Idaho, the closest office to the

- office in Idaho, the closest office to the residence I thought he was at, to go out there with some local law enforcement to try to enforce the warrant.
- Q. And he was not located there, was he?
- A. No.

- Q. But to the best of your knowledge, within a few days of that, he had turned himself in to the Lee County Jail and law enforcement?
  - A. That's my understanding, right.
- Q. So you didn't have to send any other agents out looking for him?
- A. No.
- MS. SCHAEFER: I don't have any other questions.

THE COURT: Ms. Timmins?

(Continued on the next page.)

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

2 BY MS. TIMMINS:

Q. When you interviewed the Defendant, Agent Lestina was in and out of the interview; is that right?

- A. Yes. He was trying to coordinate some other activities, so he was in and out a little bit.
- Q. And just to be clear on the situation of how he was interviewed, it was in a break room at the academy; is that right?
  - A. Right.
- Q. Was that a room that the Defendant chose or you chose, if you remember?
- A. Oh, I don't remember if he had suggested it's a good place or not, or we just chose it.
- Q. All right. And he wasn't under arrest at the time?
  - A. Oh, no. I mean, we made it very clear right at the beginning. He was not under arrest. He doesn't have to talk to us. We closed the door and we said this door is only closed for privacy. We took

1 some bathroom breaks. We took a break for 2 water, you know, throughout the six-hour 3 interview. 4 MS. TIMMINS: That's all I have. 5 THE COURT: Ms. Schaefer? 6 MS. SCHAEFER: I have nothing. 7 THE COURT: Sir, you may step down. 8 Ladies and gentlemen, we are at the noon hour. We'll take our noon recess at 9 10 this time. Please return to the jury room 11 at--we'll promptly start at 1:15 p.m. 12 Please remember the prior admonitions. 13 Thank you. 14 (A recess was taken at 12:08 p.m.) 15 (In open court, outside the 16 presence of the jury, in the presence of 17 the Court, the Defendant, and counsel at 18 1:26 p.m.) 19 THE COURT: The record should 20 reflect that all counsel are present and 21 the Defendant. The jury has not been 22 seated yet. I believe everybody is ready, 23 so you may bring in the jury. 24 (In open court, in the presence of

the jury, the Court, the Defendant, and

counsel at 1:27 p.m.) THE COURT: Please be seated everyone. The jury has now been seated. We took a little bit longer. We had to take up a couple legal matters right when we were supposed to start, so that's why we're a little bit delayed. Ms. Timmins, you may call your next witness. MS. TIMMINS: The State calls Agent Joe Lestina. (Continued on the next page.)