1	IN THE IOWA DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR SOUTH LEE COUNTY
2	STATE OF IOWA, No. FECR009152
3	Plaintiff,
4	vs. TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
5	BENJAMIN G. TRANE
6	Defendant.
7	
8	The above-captioned matter came before the
9	Honorable John G. Linn for hearing at or about 11:56 a.m.,
10	on the 27th day of November, 2017, at the South Lee County
11	Courthouse, in the City of Keokuk, South Lee County, Iowa.
12	
13	<u>A P P E A R A N C E S</u>
14	MS. DENISE A. TIMMINS, Assistant Attorney General,
15	Area Prosecutions Division, Hoover State Office Building,
16	Des Moines, Iowa 50319, appeared on behalf of the State of
17	Iowa.
18	
19	MS. LISA K. SCHAEFER, Attorney at Law, 706
20	Jefferson Street, P.O. Box 427, Burlington, Iowa 52601,
21	appeared on behalf of Defendant.
22	
23	
24	
25	

PROCEEDINGS

(The proceedings commenced at 11:56 a.m., on November 27, 2017.)

THE COURT: I'll open the record in a criminal matter. This is State of Iowa versus Benjamin G. Trane, case number FECR009152.

The State of Iowa appears by assistant attorney general Denise Timmins.

Are you Benjamin G. Trane?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: And you appear personally with attorney Lisa Schaefer.

Defendant is charged by Trial Information in, it looks like, three counts. He's pleaded not guilty and demanded speedy trial. This case is scheduled for trial to a jury December 12. This is the date set for the final pretrial conference.

Do the attorneys simply wish to confirm the trial date, or is there some additional record the Court can make at this time?

MS. SCHAEFER: Your Honor, at this time I've had numerous discussions with my client regarding waiving speedy trial and continuing. Despite my advice, he is maintaining his demand of speedy trial, and we will be confirming for trial on December 12.

THE COURT: Ms. Timmins, how long will the trial take?

MS. TIMMINS: In discussions with defense, Your Honor, best guess, we believe, we would probably go into the second week, to be safe, probably a couple of days.

THE COURT: All right. So you have four days the first week and two days the second week?

MS. TIMMINS: Yes.

2.0

2.4

THE COURT: We don't typically try cases on Monday, so if it goes into the second week, you would be trying it on the 19th and 20th then.

MS. TIMMINS: Yes.

THE COURT: Understood?

MS. SCHAEFER: Yes.

THE COURT: All right. I'll confirm this case for a six-day trial then starting at 9 a.m., December 12. The attorneys and the defendant shall be available at 8:30 a.m. the morning of trial to go over a final checklist with the trial judge. This will be the first case tried, so I'm confirming it as the number one case. The State needs to get their subpoenas out and have everybody ready. Likewise, defendant have your witnesses ready if you have witnesses to call.

The Court will not entertain motions the morning of trial.

I note there's a Motion for Change of Venue filed by the State and a Motion to Preserve Testimony.

2.0

2.4

Ms. Schaefer, do you resist either motion?

MS. SCHAEFER: In discussing the two motions with my client, he is agreeable to the Motion to Preserve Testimony, and it's my understanding in discussions with Ms. Timmins we will attempt to get that deposition taken sometime next week.

I've also had conversations with him regarding the Motion for Change of Venue. Again, despite my advice that it may be difficult to seat a jury, he would like to proceed in South Lee County, and he does resist the Motion for Change of Venue.

THE COURT: Are you in a position today to argue the Motion, then, on Change of Venue?

MS. SCHAEFER: Yeah. It will be simply argument, and, yes, we are in a position.

THE COURT: All right. With regard to the Motion to Preserve Testimony, I'll grant that motion. I wonder when the deposition is taken if it would make more sense for the State to do the questioning first of the witness in direct examination and then have the defense cross-examine, rather than the typical way you do a discovery deposition where the defendant would go first; does that make sense, Ms. Timmins?

MS. TIMMINS: Yes, Your Honor.

2.0

THE COURT: And then during the trial, the attorneys would agree the deposition could be read to the jury, is that what the proposal is, Ms. Timmins?

MS. TIMMINS: Actually, my proposal would be to do a video deposition, and just to put out there, sometimes when I've done this before, we've done the deposition first so the defense attorney is able to ask a broad array of questions instead of being limited by the Rules, and then once that's done, we go into the actual trial testimony. I would leave it up to Ms. Schaefer how she would like to do that.

THE COURT: That actually makes sense too. Ms. Schaefer, I wonder if that procedure would be agreeable?

MS. SCHAEFER: I think that would actually be best. That way, as Ms. Timmins pointed out, I wouldn't be bound by just the direct examination.

THE COURT: All right. Well, that's a good idea and I appreciate the attorneys thinking that through, and do you have a day and a time agreed upon that you have for the deposition?

MS. TIMMINS: We discussed we would look at some days when we're done here and get something set up for next week. The individual is in Muscatine, so we're looking at doing it in Muscatine.

THE COURT: All right. Any other record I need to make on that motion from the State?

MS. TIMMINS: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Ms. Schaefer?

2.0

2.4

MS. SCHAEFER: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Let's move on to the Motion for Change of Venue.

Ms. Timmins, are you in a position to go ahead and argue that motion at this time?

MS. TIMMINS: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.

MS. TIMMINS: Your Honor, really, the State would just rely on the brief provided, the information laid out. In asking to change venue, we are not asking to go far. The State's concern is basically this community, and the State's concern is not only because the State feels it will have difficulty in receiving a fair trial, the State believes the defendant will probably have difficulty in the same.

I'm not from here, but everything I'm told from people who are from here says that this issue, the closing of the school, the criminal charges, has really split the community apart. It has been constantly in the news and the talk at the coffee shops and everything else. I think we're going to have an extremely difficult time finding

jurors who don't know too much about it, who don't have relatives who didn't work there, you know, who lost their jobs there, who don't have children that went there, friends of friends, all of those things. I think it is best for both sides that we move this trial to a place where we can get a jury, where we're going to have less people who have connections, direct connections, to Midwest Academy and to Mr. Trane.

2.0

THE COURT: Ms. Schaefer, your resistance.

MS. SCHAEFER: Yes. My client believes that we can obtain a neutral and impartial jury. Primarily, Your Honor, the school has been closed for almost two years. It's not as though this is fresh in the minds of the citizens of South Lee County. The school was closed in early 2016. We're now toward the end of 2017, and Mr. Trane believes that he's not going to get any less impartial jury anywhere else than he would here in South Lee, and so we would ask for the trial to be maintained here in South Lee County.

THE COURT: Anything further from the State?

MS. TIMMINS: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. I'll take the motion under advisement. I plan to get a ruling out quickly. I'll rule yet this week and, hopefully, within a few days so everybody knows where they stand, but I'll take that

motion under advisement.

1.3

2.0

2.4

Any other record we need to make at this time with regard to any pending matters or --

MS. SCHAEFER: I think the only thing I can think of, Your Honor, is the State [sic] does intend to file a 403B motion with regard to information regarding the civil lawsuits that were filed against the school, since Mr. Trane was an owner of the school at the time. I have spoken briefly with Ms. Timmins. She does not anticipate objecting to that motion. I'll try to get that on file here in the next day or two.

THE COURT: This would be akin to a Motion in Limine, I guess?

MS. SCHAEFER: Yes.

THE COURT: And then the State would probably be conceding that?

MS. TIMMINS: The State does not intend to bring anything in about any civil trials or civil motions that have been filed.

THE COURT: All right. Again, it's difficult to rule on motions the morning of trial, so if there are any other pretrial motions, get them on file, and especially if there's one that's going to be conceded to, I guess, the Court needs to know if it needs to schedule a hearing because there's not much time between now and the 12th to

get them heard, so, again, if there's a motion filed, I guess my suggestion is contact the Court so we can set up a phone conference with the opposing counsel to figure out how we can get the motion heard and ruled upon.

Anything else from the State before I close the record?

MS. TIMMINS: I would add, Your Honor, we are doing three full days of depositions in the next three days.

After our depositions, I will have a better idea of a Motion in Limine; I assume the defense will too, so we will be filing a Motion in Limine, but it will be following this week probably.

THE COURT: So, again, if you file one, get ahold of opposing counsel and the Court and figure out how you're going to get it heard and maybe it could just be done telephonically. It's just you can't -- I wouldn't report a telephonic hearing. I mean, that's my custom and approach. If we have to have a hearing on the record, we need to have everybody in the courtroom.

All right. That will conclude this matter.

(The proceedings concluded at 12:05 p.m.)

2.0

The undersigned, one of the official shorthand reporters in and for the Eighth Judicial District of Iowa, which embraces the County of South Lee, hereby certifies:

That she acted as such reporter on the hearing of the before-mentioned cause in the District Court of Iowa in and for South Lee County, before the Judge stated in the title page attached to this transcript, and took down in shorthand the testimony offered and proceedings had on said cause.

That the foregoing pages of typewritten matter are a full, true, and complete transcript of said shorthand notes so taken by her in said cause, and that said transcript contains all of the testimony offered and proceedings had on said hearing at the times therein shown.

Dated this 27th day of June, 2018.

/s/ Jody A. Groen
CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER